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## Part I: fundamentals

- Evolutionary computations for artificial intelligence?
- Flavours of evolutionary Algorithms
- Multiobjective optimization
- Parallelism



## Why should you care?

- Optimization problems are everywhere
- Computing optimal solutions is often intractable
$\checkmark$ thus the need for approximate optimization methods that generate "acceptable" solutions in a "reasonable" amount of time
- Evolutionary Algorithms (EA) are good approximate problem solving methods
$\checkmark$ generic in nature
$\checkmark$ efficient for hard problems


## Example 1 Traveling salesman


problem: finding the shortest «hamiltonian cycle» ? $>10^{81}$ possibilities (for 60 cities)

## Example 2 Lens system design

- Lens systems are very much non-linear
- Design parameters include number of lenses, curvature, refractive indices, and spacings

c: curvature
n : refractive index
t: spacing
- Modelling should be based on the SnellDescartes formula:

$$
n_{1} \sin \theta_{1}=n_{2} \sin \theta_{2}
$$



- but, instead, uses the first order paraxial approximation that assumes light rays close to the optical axes:
$\sin \phi=\phi-\frac{\phi^{3}}{3!}+\frac{\phi^{5}}{5!}-\cdots$
let $\phi \approx 0 \Longrightarrow \sin \phi \approx \phi$.

$$
n_{1} \theta_{1} \approx n_{2} \theta_{2}
$$

- The five Seidel aberrations results from the difference between third and first order optics: spherical, coma, astigmatism, field curvature, and distortion.

$$
\sin \phi=\phi-\frac{\phi^{3}}{3!}+\frac{\phi^{5}}{5!}-\cdots
$$



## Example 3 <br> Surveillance and protection

- For sensor networks
- Optimizing sensor placement to:
$\checkmark$ maximize coverage
$\checkmark$ minimize cost
$\checkmark$ minimize intervention time
- Integrate with:
$\checkmark$ sensor models
$\checkmark$ geographical information systems


## Part I: fundamentals

- Evolutionary computations for artificial intelligence?
- Flavours of evolutionary Algorithms
- Multiobjective optimization
- Parallelism


## Evolutionary algorithms

- EAs are population based metaheuristics that can solve most any optimization problem
- They come in many flavours, including the following:
$\checkmark$ Genetic Algorithms (GA)
$\checkmark$ Evolutionary Strategies (ES)
$\checkmark$ Evolutionary Programming (EP)
$\checkmark$ Genetic Programming (GP)


## Darwintheory



- Natural selection is the process by which heritable traits that make it more likely for an organism to survive and successfully reproduce become more common in a population over successive generations. It is a key mechanism of evolution.


## High-level template


generational evolutionary algorithms

## Main questions:

- What representations?
$\checkmark$ sequential structure (bit or float)
$\checkmark$ finite automaton
$\checkmark$ tree structure
- What selection mechanism?
$\checkmark$ roulette wheel
$\checkmark$ tournaments
- What reproduction operators?
$\checkmark$ mutation (unary operator)
$\checkmark$ crossover (binary operator)
- What replacement strategy?
- What stopping criteria?

TABLE 3.4 Main Characteristics of the Different Canonical Evolutionary Algorithms: Genetic Algorithms and Evolution Strategies

| Algorithm | Genetic Algorithms | Evolution Strategies |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Developers | J. Holland | I. Rechenberg, H.-P. Schwefel |
| Original applications | Discrete optimization | Continuous optimization |
| Attribute features | Not too fast | Continuous optimization |
| Special features | Crossover, many variants | Fast, much theory |
| Representation | Binary strings | Real-valued vectors |
| Recombination | $n$-point or uniform | Discrete or intermediary |
| Mutation | Bit flipping | Gaussian |
|  | $\quad$ with fixed probability | perturbation |
| Selection | Fitness | Uniform |
| (parent selection) | proportional | random |
| Replacement | All children | $(\lambda, \mu)$ |
| (survivor selection) | replace parents | $(\lambda+\mu)$ |
| Specialty | Emphasis | Self-adaptation |
|  | on crossover | $\quad$ of mutation step size |

Table from Metaheuristics - From design to implementation
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |

TABLE 3.5 Main Characteristics of the Different Canonical Evolutionary Algorithms: Evolutionary Programming and Genetic Programming

| Algorithm | Evolutionary Programming | Genetic Programming |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Developers | D. Fogel | J. Koza |
| Original applications | Machine learning | Machine learning |
| Attribute features | - | Slow |
| Special features | No recombination | - |
| Representation | Finite-state machines | Parse trees |
| Recombination | No | Exchange of subtrees |
| Mutation | Gaussian | Random change |
|  | perturbation | in trees |
| Selection | Deterministic | Fitness |
|  |  | proportional |
| Replacement | Probabilistic | Generational |
| (survivor selection) | $\quad(\mu+\mu)$ | replacement |
| Specialty | Self-adaptation | Need huge |
|  |  | populations |

Table from Metaheuristics - From design to implementation
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Genetic algorithms

- Representations
$\checkmark$ binary strings
$\checkmark$ sequence of integers / permutations
$\checkmark$ vectors of floats
- Reproduction using crossover operations
- Mutations to promote diversity
- Generational replacement


## Selection

## wheel of fortune

$\operatorname{Prob}(j)=\frac{\text { Fitness }(j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{lmax}} \text { Fitness }(j)}$


## tournaments

Étape 1 : Sélection aléatoire de deux individus Étape 3 : Gagnants du tournoi


Population initiale avant sélection

Individus sélectionnés (la population est à moitié remplie)


Fig. 3.6. One-point crossover


Fig. 3.7. $n$-point crossover: $n=2$

Illustration from Introduction to Evolutionary Computing
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Fig. 3.8. Uniform crossover. In this example the array $[0.35,0.62,0.18,0.42,0.83$, $0.76,0.39,0.51,0.36]$ of random numbers drawn uniformly from $[0,1)$ was used to decide inheritance


Fig. 3.9. Simple arithmetic recombination: $k=6, \alpha=1 / 2$


Fig. 3.10. Single arithmetic recombination: $k=8, \alpha=1 / 2$

Illustration from Introduction to Evolutionary Computing
23 3rd International Seminar on New Issues in Artificial Intelligence


Fig. 3.1. Bitwise mutation for binary encodings

Fig. 3.2. Swap mutation


Fig. 3.3. Insert mutation


Fig. 3.4. Scramble mutation

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 7 & 8\end{array}\right)$

Fig. 3.5. Inversion mutation

## Evolutionary Strategies

- Representation: vector of floats
- Crossover rarely used
- Continuous optimization using selfadaptation Gaussian mutations
- Special $(\mu, \lambda)$ or ( $\mu+\lambda$ ) replacement strategy
$\checkmark \mu$ is the parents size
$\checkmark \lambda$ is the offsprings size


## Basic ES template

Initialize a population of $\mu$ individuals;
Evaluate the $\mu$ individuals;
Repeat

- Generate $\lambda$ offsprings from the $\mu$ parents;
- Evaluate the $\lambda$ offsprings;
- Replace the population with $\mu$ individuals taken from parents and offsprings;
Until stopping criteria satisfied
Output best individual or population found


## Gaussianemutations

- Consists in a random perturbation of the underlying vector

- Self-adapting correlation matrix


## Covariance Matrix Adaptation (CMA-ES)

First generation


Second generation


Third generation


1 individual $=$ vector $\mathbf{x}+$ matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

3rd International Seminar on New Issues in Artificial Intelligence CAOS - EVANNAI - GIAA - PLG / February 2010

## Evolutionary programming

- Representation: finite-state automaton $\checkmark$ binary or float
- Crossover rarely used
- Mutations
$\checkmark$ bit flip or Gaussian
- $(\mu+\mu)$ replacement strategy
$\checkmark \mu$ is the parents size
$\checkmark \mu$ is the offsprings size


Fig. 5.2. Finite state machine as a predictor. The initial state of this FSM is C, and the given input string is 011101. The FSM's response is the output string 110111. On this string, its prediction performance is $60 \%$ (inputs 2,3 , and 6 correctly predicted)

## Mutations operators

- Changing an output symbol
- Changing a state transition
- Adding a new state
- Deleting a state
- Changing the initial state


## Gehetic progrà̉mming

- Representation: parse tree
- Recombinations and mutations operate on subtrees
- Generational replacement

```
2п + ((x+3)-y/(5+1)) (x^true) ) ((x\veey)\vee(z\leftrightarrow(x\wedgey)))
```



Fig. 6.2. Parse trees belonging to Eqs. (6.2) (left) and (6.3) (right)

```
i = 1;
while ( i < 20 )
{
    i = i+1;
}
```



Fig. 6.3. Parse tree belonging to the above program

parent

child

Fig. 6.5. GP mutation illustrated: the node designated by a circle in the tree on the left is selected for mutation. The subtree staring at that node is replaced by a randomly generated tree, which is a leaf here

Illustration from Introduction to Evolutionary Computing
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Fig. 6.6. GP crossover illustrated: the nodes designated by a circle in the parent trees are selected to serve as crossover points. The subtrees staring at those nodes are swapped, resulting in two new trees, which are the children

## Primitive operations

- Tree branches correspond to elementary operations that can be applied on data to solve the problem at hand
$\checkmark$ the user must specify the set of applicable primitives
- Tree leaves (terminals) are terminal symbols, that is input variables, constants, or random values
- Trees are generated by randomly picking primitives and terminals


## Island model

## －Demes are

 sub－population that evolve in isolation－Periodically， some travellers migrate from one deme to the other


## Coevolution

－Two or more species that either compete or cooperate through evolution


FIGURE 3．26 Competitive coevolutionary algorithms based on the predator－prey model．

- The solution is the assembly of the different species
- individuals from the different species are randomly matched


FIGURE 3.27 A cooperative coevolutionary algorithm.
Illustration from Metaheuristics - From design to implementation

## Exploration vs exploitation

- Evolutionary algorithms are good at exploring the solution space of the problem
$\checkmark$ because of their parallel nature
- Local search method are good at exploiting local neighbourhoods
$\checkmark$ but they get stuck in local optima


## Hybrid methods

- Combining local search to EAs
- Memetic algorithms $\checkmark$ adding a developmental learning phase within the evolutionary cycle


Fig. 10.3. Possible places to incorporate knowledge or other operators within the evolutionary cycle

## Part I: fundamentals

## - Evolutionary computations for artificial intelligence? <br> - Flavours of evolutionary Algorithms <br> - Multiobjective optimization <br> - Parallelism

## Multiobjective optimization

- Multicriteria decision making
$\checkmark$ e.g. cost vs performance
- Pareto dominance
- Pareto front
- NSGA-II


## Pareto dominance

- A vector of objectives $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ is said to dominate $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ iff no
component of $\mathbf{v}$ is better then those of $\mathbf{u}$ and at least one component of $\mathbf{u}$ is better than the corresponding component of $\mathbf{v}$
$\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: u_{i} \leq v_{i} \wedge \exists i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: u_{i}<v_{i}$




## Crowding distance

$\qquad$


## Non-dominated sorting (NSGA-II)



## Part I: fundamentals

- Evolutionary computations for artificial intelligence?
- Flavours of evolutionary Algorithms
- Multiobjective optimization
- Parallelism


## Shared vs distributed memory



FIGURE 6.16 Shared memory versus distributed memory architectures.
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## Non-Uniform Memory Access (NUMA)



FIGURE 6.18 ccNUMA architectures combining shared and distributed memory architectures represent actually the most powerful machines (e.g., Earth Simulator, Cray X1, SGI Origin2000).

Off-the-shelf processors today are of the NUMA type!

For example, the new Intel Nehalem architecture (iCore 7)

## Multithreading

- Multiple threads of execution within a single process
- All threads share the same memory space
- Requires synchronization locks to protect shared
 variables

[^0]
## Memory wall

- High bandwidth
$\checkmark$ to quickly transfer large messages
- Low latency
$\checkmark$ to be able to send many short messages
start start sending receiving



## Memory access balance

- Some architecture deliberately choose slower CPUs to better balance access time between shared and distributed memory
$\checkmark$ for example the Blue Gene architecture from IBM

CPUs consume less power; so they can put more inside a cabinet!


## Message Passing Interface (MPI)

- Standard specification for message passing libraries
$\checkmark$ practical
$\checkmark$ portable
$\checkmark$ efficient
$\checkmark$ flexible
- Interfaces in C, C++, and Fortran
$\checkmark$ also some support for other languages


## Program structure

## MPI include file

- The same program runs on many process
- Each process has a unique ID called the MPI rank
- Messages can be send or received by ranks or by group of ranks

Declarations, prototypes, etc.
Program Begins
Serial code

Initialize MPI environment
Parallel code begins

Do work and make message passing calls

Terminate MPI Environment Parallel code ends
Serial code
Program Ends

## Communicators

$\qquad$

MPI_COMM_WORLD


## Buffering



Path of a message buffered at the receiving process

## Blocking vs non blocking

## - Blocking:

$\checkmark$ a send will only return when it is safe to reuse the message buffer
$\checkmark$ a receive only returns after data has arrived and is ready to use

- Non blocking:
$\checkmark$ send and receive will return almost immediately
$\checkmark$ if no data is available, receive returns with fail status
$\checkmark$ user cannot predict when operations will be complete


| 0 <br> $(0,0)$ | 1 <br> $(0,1)$ | 2 <br> $(0,2)$ | 3 <br> $(0,3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,2)$ | $7,3)$ <br> 8 <br> $(2,0)$ |
| $(2,1)$ | 10 <br> $(2,2)$ | 11 <br> $(2,3)$ |  |
| 12 | 13 |  |  |
| $(3,0)$ | $(3,1)$ | 14 <br> $(3,2)$ | 15 <br> $(3,3)$ |

## Conclusion

- EAs are both powerful and diverse
- But they require much computational effort to solve real world problems
- However, they are also embarrassingly parallel!
- Great speedups are achievable using parallel architectures


## Part II: tools

- Hardware: colossus
$\checkmark$ CLUMEQ
- Software
$\checkmark$ requirements
$\checkmark$ survey
- Open BEAGLE


## Hardware requirements

- EAs are compute intensive, $\checkmark$ but embarrassingly parallel!
- Real world problems are hard, $\checkmark$ because solution spaces are vast $\checkmark$ and objectives are many
- Clock frequencies are not expected to increase, $\checkmark$ but processors are now multicore
- Tools should be designed from the start to efficiently exploit parallelism
$\checkmark$ I wish everything could be "automagic"!


## CLUMEQ

- Consortium of 11 universities in the province of Québec, Canada


École de technologie supérieure

## Compute Canada The national HPC platform



## Québec site

- Silo of a decommissioned Van de Graaff particule accelerator
- Recycled as a cooling enclosure for a supercomputer



exterior view (circa 1965)

accelerator

control room

upper part

computer room

target room


## Van de Graaff particle accelerator




## Concept

- Unique in the world
- Compute racks arranged in a cylindrical topology
- Inner hot-air core
- Outer cold air ring-shape plenum
$\checkmark$ low air velocity, because of high cross-section
$\checkmark$ no corners to produce turbulence



## Main specifications

- up to 56 standard size racks on 3 levels
- up to 1.2 megawatts of power \& cooling
- up to 132,500 CFM of blowing power
- very efficient cooling system $\checkmark$ capable of recycling heat $\checkmark$ capable of free air cooling






## Colossus cluster

- Sun constellation system
$\checkmark 10$ fully loaded Sun Blade 6048, with X6275 modules (double Nehalem EP blade, $2.8 \mathrm{GHz}, 24 \mathrm{~GB}$ of RAM)
$\checkmark$ full-bisection IB-QDR interconnect (2xM9 switches)
$\checkmark 1$ PB of Lustre storage in a high availability configuration, using 2 MDS and $9 \times 2$ OSS
$\checkmark$ Sun 34400 storage arrays
- 40 infrastructure nodes
- 960 compute nodes
- 1920 CPU sockets (Nehalem-EP 2.8GHz)
- 7680 processor cores
- about 23 TB of RAM
- 500 TB of disk (will be upgraded to 1 PB)
- Full bisection $40 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{sec}$ networking between compute nodes (no bottlenecks)
- $10 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{sec}$ Ethernet to the university backbone



## Sun Blade 6048 Shelf with x6275




Nehalem-EP Memory Flexibility


## QNEM With x6275



## Constellation 48-blade Rack (Sun Blade 6048) with QDR

- $42 \mathrm{U}, 24$ " wide integrated rack
- Four 12-blade shelves ( 24 Nodes / Shelf)
- Each shelf has a NEM with:
> 2 36-Port IS4 Switches
> 8 12x IB cables
- Front-to-rear airflow
- 91\% Efficient power supplies
- Redundant power \& cooling



## Sun Magnum M9

High density, high scalability InfiniBand QDR switch


## "Magnum 9 "

Densest 2 -tier CLOS switch using 36-p chips
> 576 QDR with 72-p CXP Line Card

- Max 648 QDR ports
> 41 Tbps total capacity
> 300ns latency (QDR)
Line Cards and Fabric Cards
$>8$ or 9 Line Cards
$>72-\mathrm{pCXP}$
> 9 Fabric Cards
11RU 19" Enclosure
$>$ Redundant Power and Cooling
$>7 \mathrm{~kW}$ power consumption


## Management

$>$ Redundant hot-swap service processors
> External dual redundant subnet managers


## 12x Optical Active Cable



## HA OSS Module - 9 Pairs (18 OSS)



## Clumeq Overall Architecture - InfiniBand



## Benchmarking

- Compute nodes:
$\checkmark 36.6<$ STREAM < 37.9 GB/s
$\checkmark$ SPECint $=233$
$\checkmark 189$ < SPECfp < 190
- Interconnect:
$\checkmark$ MPI ping-pong latency < 2 usec
$\checkmark$ MPI ping-pong bandwidth $>3.1 \mathrm{~GB} / \mathrm{s}$
$\checkmark$ MPI all-to-all bandwidth $>1.1 \mathrm{~GB} / \mathrm{s}$
$\checkmark$ iPerf $>9.2 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$
- Lustre file system (18 0ss):
$\checkmark$ IOR read performance $=33.6 \mathrm{~GB} / \mathrm{s}$
$\checkmark$ IOR write performance $=17.3 \mathrm{~GB} / \mathrm{s}$
$\checkmark$ all over IB
- Boot time: 4 minutes 58 seconds
$\checkmark$ all over IB
- Max power HPL: 332 kW





Processor Family / Systems
November 2009


## Nehalem architecture



## Memory access



INTEL'S CURRENT FOUR-SOCKET
PLATFORM


NEHALEM FOUR-SOCKET
PLATFORM



## Operating system Family / Systems

November 2009



## Interconnect Family / Systems

November 2009


## Part II: tools

- Hardware: colossus $\checkmark$ CLUMEQ
- Software
$\checkmark$ requirements
$\checkmark$ survey
- Open BEAGLE


## READ tools

- Research through EA requires quick prototyping
- Tools should be:
$\checkmark$ simple
$\checkmark$ flexible
$\checkmark$ well documented
$\checkmark$ (reasonably) efficient
- KISS: Keep It Simple and Stupid!


## Softwarerrequirements

- Code reuse
- Flexibility and adaptability
- Transparency
- Portability
- Ease of use and efficiency

Christian Gagné and Marc Parizeau, "Genericity in Evolutionary
Computation Software Tools: Principles and Case Study", International
Journal on Artificial Intelligence Tools, vol. 15, no 2, pp. 173-194, April 2006.

## Survey

| Genericity criteria | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ? } \\ & \text { ত } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { +i } \\ & \text { i } \\ & \text { ! } \\ & \underset{\sim}{K} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & -7 \\ & :=1 \\ & :=10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Generic representation | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Generic fitness | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Generic operations | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Generic evolutionary model | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Parameter management | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Configurable output | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

( $2=$ complete, $1=$ partial, $0=$ missing $)$

## Open BEAGLE



> Beagle Engine is an Advanced Genetic Learning Environment

Beagle est un
Environnement d' Apprentissage Génétique Logiciel Evolué
http://beagle.gel.ulaval.ca/

## HMS Beagle



## Framework

| GA | GP | Other EC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Generic EC framework |  |  |
| Object oriented foundations |  |  |
| C++ Standard Template Library (STL) |  |  |

## Intelligent pointer / reference counting



```
template <class T, class BaseType>
class PointerT : public BaseType {
public:
    inline T& operator*();
    inline T* operator->();
};
```


## Base class

```
namespace Beagle {
class Object {
public:
    unsigned int getRefCounter() const;
    virtual bool isEqual(const Object&) const;
    virtual bool isLess(const Object&) const;
    virtual void read(XMLNode::Handle&);
    Object* refer();
    void unrefer();
    virtual void write(XMLStreamer&) const;
private:
    unsigned int mRefCounter;
};
}
```


## Object factories

class Allocator : public Object \{ public:
virtual Object* allocate() const =0;
virtual Object* clone(const Object\&) const =0;
virtual void copy(Object\&, const Object\&) const =0; \};

## Base type wrappers

| C++ name | Wrapper name |
| :--- | :--- |
| bool | Bool |
| char | Char |
| double | Double |
| float | Float |
| int | Int |
| long | Long |
| short | Short |
| std: :string | String |
| unsigned char | UChar |
| unsigned int | UInt |
| unsigned long | ULong |
| unsigned short | UShort |

## Architecture



## Examples

- One max problem
$\checkmark$ simple bit string representation
$\checkmark$ find the individual that has the maximum number of "ones"
$\checkmark$ classical example of genetic algorithm
- Symbolic regression
$\checkmark$ parse tree representation
$\checkmark$ given a set of points corresponding to an unknown function, find the symbolic expression of this function
$\checkmark$ classical example of genetic programming


## BEAGLE examples



## Example 1

 One max problem
## - Representation:

$\checkmark$ bit string

- Objective function:
$\checkmark$ maximize number of one bits
- Headers:

```
#include "beagle/GA.hpp"
#include "OneMaxEvalOp.hpp"
using namespace std;
using namespace Beagle;
```



```
    int main(int argc, char** argv)
    {
    try {
    ! // 1- Build the system
    ! ! System::Handle lSystem = new System;
    ! ! // 2- Install the GA bit string package
    ! ! const unsigned int lNumberOfBits = 50;
    ! ! lSystem->addPackage(new GA::PackageBitString(lNumberOfBits));
    ! // 3- Add evaluation operator allocator
    ! ! lSystem->setEvaluationOp("OneMaxEvalOp", new OneMaxEvalOp::Alloc);
    ! // 4- Initialize the evolver
    ! Evolver::Handle lEvolver = new Evolver;
    ! lEvolver->initialize(lSystem, argc, argv);
    ! // 5- Create population
    ! Vivarium::Handle lVivarium = new Vivarium;
    ! // 6- Launch evolution
    ! lEvolver->evolve(lVivarium, lSystem);
    } catch(Exception& inException) {
    ! inException.terminate(cerr);
    }
    return 0;
}
```

```
class OneMaxEvalOp : public Beagle::EvaluationOp
{
public:
    //! OneMaxEvalOp allocator type.
    typedef Beagle::AllocatorT<OneMaxEvalOp,Beagle::EvaluationOp::Alloc> Alloc;
    //! OneMaxEvalOp handle type.
    typedef Beagle::PointerT<OneMaxEvalOp,Beagle::EvaluationOp::Handle> Handle;
    //! OneMaxEvalOp bag type.
    typedef Beagle::ContainerT<OneMaxEvalOp,Beagle::EvaluationOp::Bag> Bag;
    explicit OneMaxEvalOp() : EvaluationOp("OneMaxEvalOp") { }
    virtual Fitness::Handle evaluate(Individual& inIndividual,
                Context& ioContext)
    {
        Beagle_AssertM(inIndividual.size() == 1);
        GA::BitString::Handle lBitString = castHandleT<GA::BitString>
                                    (inIndividual[0]);
        unsigned int lCount = 0;
        for(unsigned int i=0; i<lBitString->size(); ++i) {
            if((*lBitString)[i] == true) ++lCount;
        }
        return new FitnessSimple(float(lCount));
    }
};
```

```
void GA::PackageBitString::configure(System& ioSystem)
{
! Beagle_StackTraceBeginM();
! Factory& lFactory = ioSystem.getFactory();
! // Add available operators to the factory
! lFactory.insertAllocator("GA:: CrossoverOnePointBitStrOp",
                                new GA::CrossoverOnePointBitStrOp::Alloc);
! lFactory.insertAllocator("GA::CrossoverTwoPointsBitStrOp",
                                    new GA::CrossoverTwoPointsBitStrOp::Alloc);
! lFactory.insertAllocator("GA::CrossoverUniformBitStrOp",
                                new GA::CrossoverUniformBitStrOp::Alloc);
! lFactory.insertAllocator("GA:: InitBitStrOp",
                                    new GA::InitBitStrOp::Alloc);
! lFactory.insertAllocator("GA::InitBitStrRampedOp",
                                new GA::InitBitStrRampedOp::Alloc);
! lFactory.insertAllocator("GA::MutationFlipBitStrOp",
                                    new GA::MutationFlipBitStrOp::Alloc);
! // Set some concept-type associations
! lFactory.setConcept ("CrossoverOp", "GA::CrossoverUniformBitStrOp");
! lFactory.setConcept("Genotype", "GA::BitString");
! lFactory.setConcept("InitializationOp", "GA::InitBitStrOp");
! lFactory.setConcept("MutationOp", "GA::MutationFlipBitStrOp");
! Beagle_StackTraceEndM("void GA::PackageBitString::configure(System&)");
}
```


## Partial observations

- OB is very flexible and very modular $\checkmark$ simple to use for predefined EAs $\checkmark$ all components can be redefined
$\checkmark$ many other features not illustrated like introspection, config files, checkpointing, logging, statistics, etc.
- But syntax is sometimes heavy
- Complexity stems from the limitations of the underlying language: C++


## Example 2 Symbolic regression

## - Representation:

## $\checkmark$ parsed tree of primitives

- Objective:
$\checkmark$ minimize mean square error between the problem's sample points and the "discovered" function
- Headers:

```
#include "beagle/GP.hpp"
#include "SymbRegEvalOp.hpp"
using namespace std;
using namespace Beagle;
```


## Specify the available set of primitives

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
try {
! ! // 0- Build set of primitives
! ! GP::PrimitiveSet::Handle lSet = new GP::PrimitiveSet;
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::Add);
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::Subtract);
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::Multiply);
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::Divide);
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::Sin);
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::Cos);
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::Exp);
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::Log);
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::TokenT<Double>("X"));
! ! lSet->insert(new GP::EphemeralDouble);
```

```
! ! ...
    // 1- Build a system with the "constrained" GP package
    ! ! System::Handle lSystem = new System;
    ! ! lSystem->addPackage(new GP::PackageBase(lSet));
    ! ! lSystem->addPackage(new GP::PackageConstrained);
    ! ! // 2- Add data set for regression component
    ! ! lSystem->addComponent (new DataSetRegression);
    ! ! // 3- Add evaluation operator allocator
    ! ! lSystem->setEvaluationOp("SymbRegEvalOp",
                                    new SymbRegEvalOp::Alloc);
    ! ! // 4- Initialize the evolver
    ! ! Evolver::Handle lEvolver = new Evolver;
    ! ! lEvolver->initialize(lSystem, argc, argv);
    ! ! // 5- Create population
    ! ! Vivarium::Handle lVivarium = new Vivarium;
    // 6- Launch evolution
    ! lEvolver->evolve(lVivarium, lSystem);
    } catch(Exception& inException) {...}
    return 0;
}
```

```
Fitness::Handle
SymbRegEvalOp::evaluate(GP::Individual& inIndividual,
                    GP::Context& ioContext)
{
double lSquareError = 0.;
for(unsigned int i=0; i<mDataSet->size(); i++) {
! Beagle_AssertM((*mDataSet)[i].second.size() == 1);
! ! const Double lX((*mDataSet)[i].second[0]);
! ! setValue("X", lX, ioContext);
! ! const Double lY((*mDataSet)[i].first);
! ! Double lResult;
! ! inIndividual.run(lResult, ioContext);
! const double lError = lY-lResult;
! lSquareError += (lError*lError);
}
const double lMSE = lSquareError / mDataSet->size();
const double lRMSE = sqrt(lMSE);
const double lFitness = 1. / (1. + lRMSE);
return new FitnessSimple(lFitness);
}
```


## What about distributed BEAGLE?

- Essentially, you need only to change the package to include new operators
- These operators will split the population into groups of individuals and distribute them to worker nodes in order to evaluate their fitness
- The distribution process use MPI to communicate with worker nodes
- Distribution is thus transparent, but not very flexible


## Conclusion

- EAs are fundamentally simple, but writing EA programs is not always easy
$\checkmark$ frameworks are complex; documentation is not good enough
- Parts of EAs may be compute intensive, but most of the code is complex glue
- Object oriented programming is good, but strongly typed languages are a pain!
$\checkmark$ higher level languages can significantly increase programmer efficiency and thus lower prototype development time
- Task parallelism must be built-in the framework from the start, not an afterthought!


## Part IIIy|architecture

- Why Python?
- DTM: Distributed task Manager
- EAP: Evolutionary Algorithms in Python
- DTM+EAP = DEAP: Distributed

Evolutionary Algorithms in Python

- DEAP optimization and problem solving?


## Python language

- Object oriented; fully dynamic
- Coherent syntax
- High level data structures
- Extensive libraries to do mostly anything
- Easy interface to other programming languages like C, C++ or java
- Supports UTF-8 out-of-the-box
- Very efficient glue language!


## Python's advantage?

- The language is so powerful and straightforward that you can code your own evolutionary algorithm explicitly (almost like pseudo-code), and control every detail of it!
- Or you can hide as much detail as you want, like how to assign tasks to CPUs in a parallel computer...
- Less lines of codes means:
$\checkmark$ better readability
$\checkmark$ less bugs
$\checkmark$ better documentation!
- Python brings better matlab than Matlab without having to pay for licences!
$\checkmark$ SciPy, NumPy \& matPlotLib
- Want to write platform independent GUIs with...
$\checkmark$ Qt? FLTK? OpenGL?
- Want to communicate using... $\checkmark$ posix sockets? MPI?

- Want to build databases or web services?


## Distributed Task Manager (DTM)

- What we want to achieve:
$\checkmark$ decide at one point in the code that some task(s) should be executed by another process
$\checkmark$ not worry about where the tasks will execute
$\checkmark$ not worry about load balancing of tasks
$\checkmark$ have the option of exploiting transparently anything from a single processor to thousands of them
$\checkmark$ for debugging, have the possibility of monitoring what is going on!


## Whatiabout performance?

- No free lunch!
- Real world EC problems have CPU intensive components, but most of the more complex lines of code are just glue representing a small percentage of the total run time
$\checkmark$ CPU intensive parts should not be coded in Python
- Python interfaces well with other languages
- Programmer/researcher time is much more precious than computer time


## What labout task granularity?

- No free lunch!
- We leave it to the user to experiment and decide
- Obviously, it is a question of bandwidth and latency
$\checkmark$ you want relatively small communication overheads


## What about existing tools?

- Python has everything that is needed
$\checkmark$ multithreading classes that run over native OS thread
$\checkmark$ interface to C/C++ MPI
$\checkmark$ "pickling" of objects for serialization of everything
$\checkmark$ just need to write a little bit of glue ;-)
- Many tools have been developed
$\checkmark$ Intel Cilk++ and Ct
$\checkmark$ lots of grid stuff
$\checkmark$ some in Python
- But nothing worth not writing our own


## Evil GIL!

- Also called Python's GIL of doom!
$\checkmark$ GIL=Global Interpreter Lock
$\checkmark$ threads are pre-empted
$\checkmark$ but the interpreter cannot run them in parallel on multicore computers
- Solution:
$\checkmark$ use multiprocessing; one process per core
$\checkmark$ in a "share nothing" architecture
$\checkmark$ using message passing
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## class Task

- Contains...
$\checkmark$ a unique ID
$\checkmark$ the ID of its parent
$\checkmark$ a task type label
$\checkmark$ a creation, start, and ending time stamp
- Has a run method that receives an argument list
- The "execution thread" handles the currently running task

- The "pending execution queue" contains the tasks that are waiting for execution
- It is a priority queue



## - The "pending results queue" contains the tasks that have been halted, because they await some result(s)



# - The "input/output MPI threads" respectively run the MPI receive/send commands 



## User interface example

- Initializations
- If MPI rank = 1
$\checkmark$ do some more initialization
$\checkmark$ launch root task
$\checkmark$ for example:
dtm.spawn (distributedGA, lTools, lPop, 0.5, 0.2, 40)
- Inside the root task (for example):
lChilds $=$ [dtm.spawn (toolbox.evaluate, lind) for lind in population]
lData = yield ('waitFor', lChilds)



## Load balancing

- Currently, once a task starts executing within a given process, it will remain on that process until completion
- But when a task is spawn, it is randomly assigned to one of the processes that have lower loads
- Each a process communicates with another process, they exchange historical load statistics
- For tasks in the pending execution queue, the load is estimated using the task type label $\checkmark$ it is assumed that task with equal labels have similar run times


## Evolutionary Algorithms in Python (EAP)

## - Fitness

$\checkmark$ just an array of floats

- Individual
$\checkmark$ just a sequence (list) of stuff, and a fitness
- Population
$\checkmark$ just a set (list) of either individuals or sub-populations (demes)
- Toolbox
$\checkmark$ just a bunch of registered operators that can be used by the evolutionary algorithm


## Fitness

- A class derived from a simple array of floats
class Fitness (array.array):
def isValid(self):
def invalidate(self):
def isDominated (self, other):
$\checkmark$ works the same way for single or multiple values (objectives)


## Maximize or minimize?

- The Fitness constructor has an optional argument to assign weights to the different objectives
$\checkmark+1$ (default) indicates that the corresponding component should be maximized
$\checkmark-1$ indicates minimization
def __init__(self, weights=(-1.0,)): self.mWeights = array.array('d', weights)


## Individuah

- A container class derived from a list of things; the kind of "things" being specified by a generator function...

```
class Individual(list):
def __init__(self, size=0, generator=None,
                                fitness=None) :
    if fitness is not None:
        self.mFitness = fitness()
        for i in xrange(size):
        self.append(generator.next())
```


## Population

- A container class derived from a list of "things"; the kind of things being specified by an object...

```
class Population(list):
def __init__(self, size=0, generator=None):
    for i in xrange(size):
        self.append(generator())
```


## Toolbox

- Just a factory to manufacture evolutionary methods:

```
class Toolbox(object):
    def register(self, methodName, method, *args, **kargs):
    def unregister(self, methodName):
```

- Toolset examples:
def tournSel(individuals, $n$, tournSize=2):
def wheelSel (individuals, $n$ ):
def onePointCx(indOne, indTwo):
def twoPointsCx(indOne, indTwo):
def pmxCx (indOne, indTwo):
def flipBitMut(individual, prob):
def gaussMut(individual, sigma, prob):

```
    import eap.base as base
    import eap.toolbox as toolbox
    # create toolbox
    lTools = toolbox.Toolbox()
    # populate toolbox with fitness, individual,
    # and population creators
    lTools.register('fitness', base.Fitness,
    weights=(1.0,))
lTools.register('individual', base.Individual,
                        size=100, fitness=lTools.fitness,
                        generator=base.booleanGenerator())
lTools.register('population', base.Population,
                        size=300, generator=lTools.individual)
# create the initial population
lPop = lTools.population()
```


\# define the evaluation method def evalOneMax(individual):
if not individual.mFitness.isValid(): individual.mFitness.append(individual.count(True))
\# populate toolbox with evolutionary operators lTools.register('evaluate', evalOneMax)
lTools.register('crossover', toolbox.twoPointsCx)
lTools.register('mutate', toolbox.flipBitMut, flipIndxPb=0.05)
lTools.register('select', toolbox.tournSel, tournSize=3)
\# Evaluate the initial population map(lTools.evaluate, lPop)

```
CXPB, MUTPB, NGEN = (0.5, 0.2, 40)
for g in range(NGEN):
    print 'Generation', g
simple evolution
                                    loop
```

    lPop[:] = lTools.select(lPop, \(n=l e n(l P o p))\)
    \# Apply crossover and mutation
    for i in xrange(1, len(lPop), 2):
        if random.random() < CXPB:
                lPop[i - 1], lPop[i] = lTools.crossover(lPop[i - 1], lPop[i])
        for i in xrange(len(lPop)):
        if random.random() < MUTPB:
                lPop[i] = lTools.mutate(lPop[i])
        \# Evaluate the population
        map(lTools.evaluate, lPop)
    \# Gather all the fitnesses in one list and print the stats
    lFitnesses \(=\) [lInd.mFitness[0] for lInd in lPop]
    print '\tMin Fitness :', min(lFitnesses)
    print '\tMax Fitness :', max(lFitnesses)
    print '\tMean Fitness :', sum(lFitnesses)/len(lFitnesses)
    print 'End of evolution'

## OneMax short example

```
import eap.base as base
import eap.algorithms as algorithms
import eap.toolbox as toolbox
def evalOneMax(individual):
    if not individual.mFitness.isValid():
        individual.mFitness.append(individual.count(True))
lTools = toolbox.Toolbox()
lTools.register('fitness', base.Fitness, weights=(1.0,))
lTools.register('individual', base.Individual, size=100,
    fitness=lTools.fitness, generator=base.booleanGenerator())
lTools.register('population', base.Population, size=300,
    generator=lTools.individual)
lTools.register('evaluate', evalOneMax)
lTools.register('crossover', toolbox.twoPointsCx)
lTools.register('mutate', toolbox.flipBitMut, flipIndxPb=0.05)
lTools.register('select', toolbox.tournSel, tournSize=3)
lPop = lTools.population()
algorithms.simpleGA(lTools, lPop, cxPb=0.5, mutPb=0.2, nGen=40)
```

```
def simpleGA(toolbox, population, cxPb, mutPb, nGen):
    # Evaluate the initial population
    map(toolbox.evaluate, population)
    # run the evolution loop
    for g in range(nGen):
        print 'Generation', g
        population[:] = toolbox.select(population, n=len(population))
        # Apply crossover and mutation
        for i in xrange(1, len(population), 2):
            if random.random() < cxPb:
            population[i - 1], population[i] = toolbox.crossover(population
            [i-1], population[i])
        for i in xrange(len(population)):
            if random.random() < mutPb:
            population[i] = toolbox.mutate(population[i])
        # Evaluate the population
        map(toolbox.evaluate, population)
        # Gather all of the fitness values in one list and print
        statistics
        lFitnesses = [lInd.mFitness[0] for lInd in population]
        print '\tMin Fitness :', min(lFitnesses)
        print '\tMax Fitness :', max(lFitnesses)
        print '\tMean Fitness :', sum(lFitnesses)/len(lFitnesses)
    print 'End of evolution'
```


## DTM+EAP = DEAP

```
from mpi4py import MPI
import eap.base as base
import eap.toolbox as toolbox
def evalOneMax(individual):
    if not individual.mFitness.isValid():
        yield individual.count(True)
if MPI.COMM_WORLD.Get_rank() == 0:
    lTools = toolbox.Toolbox()
    lTools.register('fitness', base.Fitness, weights=(1.0,))
    lTools.register('individual', base.Individual, size=100,\
                fitness=lTools.fitness, generator=base.booleanGenerator())
    lTools.register('population', base.Population, size=300,\
                generator=lTools.individual)
    lTools.register('evaluate', evalOneMax)
    lTools.register('crossover', toolbox.twoPointsCx)
    lTools.register('mutate', toolbox.flipBitMut, flipIndxPb=0.05)
    lTools.register('select', toolbox.tournSel, tournSize=3)
    lPop = lTools.population()
    dtm.spawn(distributedGA, lTools, lPop, 0.5, 0.2, 40)
```

```
def distributedGA(toolbox, population, cxPb, mutPb, nGen):
    # Evaluate the population
    map(toolbox.evaluate, population)
    # Begin the evolution
    for g in range(nGen):
        print 'Generation', g
        population[:] = toolbox.select(population, n=len(population))
        # Apply crossover and mutation
        for i in xrange(1, len(population), 2):
            if random.random() < cxPb:
            population[i - 1], population[i] = toolbox.crossover(population[i - 1],
                                    population[i])
        for i, ind in enumerate(population):
            if random.random() < mutPb:
            population[i] = toolbox.mutate(ind)
        # Distribute the evaluation
        lChilds = [dtm.spawn(toolbox.evaluate, lInd) for lInd in population]
        lData = yield ('waitFor', lChilds)
        for i, lID in enumerate(lChilds):
            population[i].mFitness.append(lData[lID])
        # Gather all fitness values in one list and print statistics
        lFitnesses = [lInd.mFitness[0] for lInd in population]
        print '\tMin Fitness :', min(lFitnesses)
        print '\tMax Fitness :', max(lFitnesses)
        print '\tMean Fitness :', sum(lFitnesses)/len(lFitnesses)
    print 'End of evolution'
```


## What about GP?

- Need to...
$\checkmark$ build the set of primitives
$\checkmark$ build the set of terminals
$\checkmark$ define the evaluation function
$\checkmark$ register everything
$\checkmark$ and call the "simpleGA" algorithm
- Import modules:

```
import sympy
import random
import math
import eap.base as base
import eap.toolbox as toolbox
import eap.algorithms as algorithms
```


## Primitives and terminals

```
# define primitives
def add(left, right):
    return left + right
def sub(left, right):
    return left - right
def mul(left, right):
    return left * right
def rdiv(left, right):
    return sympy.nsimplify(left/right)
def randomCte():
    return random.randint(-1,1)
# add primitives and closures to their respective list
lFuncs = [add, sub, mul, rdiv]
# defines symbols that will be used in the expression
lSymbols = [sympy.Symbol('x')]
# define terminal set
lTerms = [sympy.Rational(1)]
# add the symbols to the terminal set as 0-arity functions.
lTerms.extend([lambda: symb for symb in lSymbols])
```


## Toolboxsinitialization

```
lTools = toolbox.Toolbox()
lTools.register('fitness', base.Fitness, weights=(-1.0,))
lTools.register('expression', base.expressionGenerator,
        funcSet=lFuncs,termSet=lTerms, maxDepth=3)
lTools.register('individual', base.IndividualTree,
    fitness=lTools.fitness,
    generator=lTools.expression())
lTools.register('population', base.Population, size=100,
    generator=lTools.individual)
lTools.register('select', toolbox.tournSel, tournSize=3)
lTools.register('crossover', toolbox.uniformOnePtCxGP)
lTools.register('mutate', toolbox.uniformTreeMut,
    treeGenerator=lTools.expression, depthRange=(0,2))
```

```
def evalSymbReg(individual, symbols):
    if not individual.mFitness.isValid():
        # Simplify the expression by collecting the terms
        expr = individual.evaluate()
        # Transform expression in a callable function
        lFuncExpr = sympy.lambdify(symbols, expr)
        lDiff = 0
        # Evaluate the sum of squared difference
        # real function : x**4 + x**3 + x**2 + x + 1
        for x in xrange(-100,100):
            x = x/100.
            try:
                lDiff += (lFuncExpr(x)-(x**4 + x**3 +
                    x**2 + x + 1))**2
        except ZeroDivisionError:
                lDiff += ((x**4 + x**3 + x**2 + x + 1))**2
```

        individual.mFitness.append(lDiff)
    
## DEAP philosophy

- Transparent and minimalist design
$\checkmark$ not a blackbox design!
$\checkmark$ not bloated with specialized features,
$\checkmark$ but generic enough to build sophisticated specialized distributed evolutionary algorithms
$\checkmark$ you want to visualize your complete evolutionary algorithm on one page
$\checkmark$ you are exposed to the level of details that you decide
$\checkmark$ you have complete control if you want it!


## To do list

- This is a work in progress...
$\checkmark$ implement multiobjective and co-evolution
$\checkmark$ develop other advance algorithms
$\checkmark$ develop utility functions like checkpointing and logging (easy in Python), etc.
$\checkmark$ develop monitoring tools for DTM
- Currently working on the project
$\checkmark 1$ undergraduate (part-time)
$\checkmark 2$ masters (part-time)
- Soon three or four PhDs will be using it for their research projects
- Project started last summer; development is now ramping up quickly!


## Questions?


[^0]:    Illustration from Metaheuristics - From design to implementation

